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Abstract. Bamberg’s Natural History Museum (North-Bavaria, Germany) was founded at the end of the 18th century. The Bamberg museum was once listed as comparable with the collections of Leiden, Paris and London (Jaek 1815). At the end of the 19th century, it held about 1000 bird specimens of 800 species, all exhibited in the exhibition hall from 1794.

Investigations into the history of the avian specimens have now delivered very surprising discoveries among the 1500 bird mounts. Several regional first records, locally extinct species and rarely documented vagrants are found in the European section. However, much more interesting are the exotic birds. The most exciting discoveries are specimens from Johann Baptist von Spix’s exploration of Brazil in 1817-1820 and from Johann Heinrich Christian Sturm’s former collection at Nürnberg. Bamberg also houses several specimens of the famous Australian collection of Amalie Dietrich, one of the few female collectors.

Bamberg Museum is a good case study demonstrating that even small collections may be of scientific importance for biogeography, conservation, taxonomy and the history of science.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In 1791 the archbishop of Bamberg and Würzburg, Franz Ludwig von ERTHAL (1730-1795), decided to open a faculty of natural science at the University of Bamberg, subsequently also adding a „Naturalienkabinett“, as the Natural History Museum was named at that time, for the purpose of studying natural objects (MÄUSER 1995). Even before the official decision to found a museum at Bamberg had taken place, many specimens, including birds, had arrived at Bamberg (e.g. ca. 100 bird specimens arrived in 1790 from Geheime Kabinettssekretär Hofrat SCHMIDT of Asbach). A new exhibition hall had to be built to house the increasing collections. It was fitted within the old building of the former Jesuit college at Bamberg’s Fleischstrasse. The exhibition cases arrived in 1794, all nicely decorated with busts of famous scientists from DIOSKURIDES to LINNÉ, which were carved by Georg Joseph MUSCHELE (for more details cf. MÄUSER 1995, see illustration 1). However, after this quick and successful start of a natural history museum at Bamberg, a deep blow followed ERTHAL’s death in 1795 because the new sovereign, archbishop Christoph Franz von BUSECK, showed no commitment towards the museum and it was even used as a hall for manufacturing crop sacks during the revolution wars. However, in 1803 a new period of productive science and the amassing of collections commenced when the collection of natural history objects from the monastery Banz arrived at Bamberg due to secularization. The last curator of the Banz collection, DIONYSIUS LINDE, subsequently became the curator at Bamberg. The Banz birds added enormously to the dozen or so surviving birds from the old Bamberg collection. From then onwards the collection of Bamberg showed a steady increase (cf. STEPHAN 1807a-c).

During many visits to the Bamberg natural history collection from childhood onwards, I realized the importance and potential of the avian collection there. In 1999 I therefore started a project of restoring data to Bamberg’s bird specimens. This publication summarizes the methods of my project and some early results.

2. METHODS

Every bird specimen in the exhibition hall and from the cellar store rooms has been photographed by the volunteers Gertrud and Dieter DÖLLER with a digital camera [Nikon Coolpix 990E, lens 3-x zoom Nikkor, focal length 8mm – 24mm]. The photos of the bird specimens have been taken while placed in a box [80 x 80 x 80 cm] with an interior painted in neutral white. Three fluorescent tubes have been used as a light source giving a sun-like condition [Osram L 18/12, each 18 Watt, colour code 12, ~ 5,000 Kelvin]. Larger specimens (about 60 birds) have had to be photographed in front of white canvas using photo studio lights and flashes. All photos bear a colour code reference from Kodak as well as a scale. Additional photos were taken of all labels written before 1900. Exposure time were 1/15s with apertures from 6.1 to 8.7 and focal lengths from 14.4 to 22.8 mm. Subsequently, the photos have been saved as JPEG files (normal format) and printed on discs. These images are the basis for later identification purposes and comparison with specimens of other natural history collections, thus giving the correct (sub-) species determination. In turn, knowledge of the subspecies limits the geographical area from which a certain specimen could have been collected.
Individual data accompanying each bird specimen is also documented. The main data sources are labels or notes on bird stands, catalogue and acquisition entries, accompanying letters (in museums' and town archives), bills and publications, unpublished diaries and notebooks. Last but not least, the preparation style can indicate something about possible acquisition dates.

Combining all available data, i.e. the time window, the geographical region and the possible sources is normally enough to make only one or two collectors a possibility. Then diaries and field reports may confirm the probability of having found the correct collector, thus giving additional information on the exact collection locality and date (see fig. 1).

3. RESULTS

It is not yet possible to give a complete account of all bird specimens. A full documentation as part of a PhD is in preparation, but will take several years to complete. However, some surprising finds can already be mentioned.

Altogether, Bamberg's museum holds 1,550 mounted birds of about 800 species, 75 study skins of local species, 19 complete and 12 partial skeletons, 552 eggs and 112 nests. The mounted birds are still the key specimens of the old exhibition hall (fig. 2),
which has maintained its old settings and late 18th
century features until today (Meyer 2001). For each
species usually one, but when the sexes differ some-
times two or rarely up to 5 specimens are shown, indi-
cating a Linnean understanding of nature. The speci-
mens and the system used represented creation rather
than evolution, especially as all curators who were
responsible for the museum during the 19th century
were trained clergymen.

The curators of the collection differed in the type and
amount of ornithological material they obtained.
Dionysius Linder, who was curator from 1803 to
1838, increased the collection from less than a dozen
specimens to several hundreds and Andreas Haupt
(curator 1838-1885) continued in this tradition. At
the end of his career, the Bamberg Museum possessed
about 1,000 bird specimens. But it was Georg Fischer
(curator 1885-1912), who started the careful docu-
mentation of all specimens by entering them in a cat-
ologue. Since then this catalogue has been used as an
acquisition book, telling exactly the amount of further
material to arrive. Later curators, i.e. Michael Kunz
(1913-1916), Theodor Schneid (1917-1945), Oskar Kuhn
(1946-1951), Anton Kolb (1951-1984) and Alfred Hertle
(commissariat, 1984-1988), had little
input into the important specimens of the avian col-
lection. The present curator Matthias Mäuser (from
1988 onwards), however, has a major interest in the
history of the avian collection and supports collection
based research.

3.2. Exotic material

The most important specimens arrived from Munich
in the years 1857-1864, when Bamberg acquired
duplicate material via Carl Theodor Ernst von
Siebold (1804-1885) of the Zoological State Collec-
tion. Most of the Munich specimens are from Brazil,
many actually from the gallery forests along the coast
near Rio de Janeiro. I therefore extracted a list of all
Brazilian specimens in the Munich collection register
(vol. I: acquisitions until 1910), thus summarizing
possible collectors. All Brazilian specimens at
Munich from pre-1864, for which some sort of collect-
ing locality rather then just „Brazil“ are given, derive
from three sources only.

The first is the famous Brazilian explorations of
Johann Baptist von Spix (1781-1826) & Carl Friedrich Philipp von Martius (1794-1868) as well as
of the Austrian Johann Natterer (1787-1843) during
the years 1817-1820 (Natterer until 1835) on the occa-
sion of the marriage of Erzherzogin Leopoldina
of Austria (1797-1826) with the crown prince Dom
Pedro, later Emperor Dom Pedro I of Brazil, in 1817
(Sick 1984). Natterer especially did extensive collect-
ing. His huge collection subsequently went to
Vienna museum; however, in 1840 Natterer visited
Munich museum to exchange his duplicate specimens

The second source is the private collection of Maxim-
ilian von Leuchtenberg (~1817-1852) at Eichstädt,
Bavaria, whose brother Karl August Eugène
Napoleon von Leuchtenberg (1810-1835) collected
in Brazil, returning many specimens to Eichstädt in
1829 (Sturm 1841-47). Later it was Maximilian’s sis-
ter, Amelia von Leuchtenberg (1812-1873), the sec-
ond wife of Pedro I of Brazil after Leopoldina died
in 1826, who presumably sent specimens home dur-
ing 1826-1831 (the latter is the year of Pedro’s abdi-
cation). In 1855 von Leuchtenberg’s collection was
given to Munich museum, when his family moved to
Russia following his death.

The last source of Brazilian specimens is the collection
of Georg Heinrich von Langsdorff (1774-1852),
though only one remaining specimen at Munich is
known. The biggest share of Brazilian birds at Munich
until 1900, however, is still that of Spix. I assume
therefore, that some of the specimens at Bamberg museum may have paratype status for names by SPIX.

Bamberg also obtained a share of bird specimens from the collection of the toucan expert Johann Heinrich Christian STURM (1805-1862) of Nürnberg, who translated John GOUlD's Monograph of the Rhamphastidae (1834) into German, adding new descriptions of his own (STURM 1841-47). This book, which is unknown to many ornithologists, greatly improved GouLD's original publication: the drawings are more accurate in colour and many of Johann NATTERER's field notes as well as notes by STURM himself were added. STURM was only able to extend GouLD's work by having his own reference material; he possessed a large bird collection, consisting of about 2700 specimens of 1700 species, including 62 specimens of Rhamphastidae (STURM 1865). It was believed lost after its disposal in 1865, but many specimens have actually survived in the collections of Munich, Bamberg and, probably, at the University of Erlangen.

STURM (1841-1847) lists all toucan specimens that he had in his own collection at Nürnberg. Bamberg Museum has two of them still on display: an Aulacorynchus sulcatus, which STURM obtained from Vienna Museum (collected at Santa Fé de Bogotá) and a Selenidera culik, which was apparently obtained from Johann NATTERER (see fig. 3).

Moreover, STURM planned to publish German translations of the first volume of the Birds of Australia (GOULD 1837) and the monograph of the Trogonidae (GOULD 1838). However, as the surviving original manuscripts at the BMNH library at Tring show, STURM would not have added any of his own comments, as he probably lacked many Australian specimens in his collection. Despite some gaps in STURM's collection, Carl Hermann Conrad BURMEISTER (1807-1892), a collector of Argentinean specimens, spoke very well about it (STURM 1865).

It is also worth consideration that many of the early bird books printed at Nürnberg might have used speci-
mens from Sturm's collection for illustrations, thus making the thorough investigation of Bamberg's Sturm specimens very important for the history of science.

Specimens from Australia and the Pacific islands arrived from Johann Cesar Godeffroy (1813-1885), who employed professional collectors as well as captains of his business fleet for collecting natural history objects (Gebhardt 1964). Amalie Dietrich (1821-1891) and Theodor Kleinschmidt (1834-1881) are two of the many collectors for Godeffroy. Bamberg Natural History Museum possesses Godeffroy specimens, which bear localities like Rockhampton, (Lake) Elphinestone and (Port) Mackay, places which were extensively visited by Dietrich, thus making it very likely that these specimens are indeed her products. Other Godeffroy material at Bamberg originates from the Fiji Islands, which were explored by Kleinschmidt on Godeffroy's behalf.

Much exotic material also came to Bamberg via Johann Lukas Schönlein (1793-1864). Schönlein was a Professor for Medicine, who purchased all sorts of natural history objects for the museum of Bamberg during his times at Zurich and Berlin. In the first half of the 19th century, both towns maintained a market for exotic collections (Schemmel 1993). Schönlein's son might have also been collecting for Bamberg; at least he died far abroad in Africa (Haupt 1893).

In 1914 an Arfak Astrapia Astrapia nigra was acquired from Emil Weiske (1867-1950). Weiske has become well known for collecting in Australia and New Guinea on the behalf of for example Tring, London, Berlin, Dresden, Leipzig and Vienna museums (Gebhardt 1964).

Bamberg Museum could only afford such a complete collection of exotic birds by dealing itself in natural history objects, mainly those obtained from the Bamberg-born missionary Matthäus Kirchner, who lived at Khatom, but explored the White Nile upwards deep into East-Central Africa, even obtaining Shoebills Balaeniceps rex at the shores of Lake Victoria. This bird species had been described only seven years previously (Gould 1850), when, in 1857, Bamberg museum had already its own specimens on display (see fig. 4)! Kirchner asked in return only for seeds, agricultural products and other supports for his mission work, thus being a very cheap source of excellent and rare material, especially insects and birds. Many of his specimens were exchanged with other institutions, e.g. with the Nationale Natuurhistorisch Museum of Leiden (cf. letters in Leiden archives, filed under „HAUPT“, cf. Gizen 1938).

4. DISCUSSION

I use the Bamberg collection as a case-study to prove that even bird specimens without easily accessible data may have a validity for science, if time and staff power allow a thorough data check. There are many small collections existing which have suffered huge neglect and data loss. But, as the Bamberg study shows, there might be a chance to restore data to such collections making them important for science in their own right. Therefore I urge researchers to appreciate local collections, regardless of their condition. Although money and staff is often lacking to maintain these collections well, they should at least be kept. In the future some of them might be revealed to be of huge importance for ornithology. Hopefully the Bamberg case study might also encourage those who are responsible for neglected collections to work towards a full documentation of the material or, at least, to stop further data loss and decay.

Fig. 4: The two specimens of Shoebills Balaeniceps rex at the Naturkunde-Museum Bamberg, collected by Matthäus Kirchner before 1857.

Foto: Döllner & Steinheimer 2001
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